Dear Hardle,

Regarding your last letter to me of July 29, 1999, it appears you did not closely read my last letter to you of July 26, 1999, in which I specifically my that I do intend (sontrey to what you suggest) to make an effort on my own to see if there is any support for the allegation you made in your book "Case Ogened" that Oswald hela top leant cleanure in the Maines and this fact was expunsed from his Marin records. ale, contray to your letter, I said that because of your health and age I lid not want you to make any further effort to get the information for me. as fai as Oswald's Maine collegues are somerned, nowhere in their testing or affidaints do they say, as you alleg, that they or Oswald had a top heart or even Secret clearance. As far as Oswald's sugarios, LT. John Donovan, is someoned, he lid testify that he assumed Oswald had a Secret (not Top lecret) clearance because, he said, "that was a minimum requirement for all of ses." (8 H 298) But the HSCA found that Donoven's assumption was incorrect. They reviewed the files of four entisted men who worked with Oswald and beared they each hed only a Confidential slearance les HSCA Ryout, gay 219, and JFK Document # 013677. So unless & (or you)

Konfidented dearence, as set forth in his Main records (19 H 665) seems to be assured.

About my being regarible and factual, I have their think any true crims writer in America has a better regulation than I for being both of their things, and I have intend to do anything to after that regulation with my book on the Hennely assessmention.

Harold, if there is any reference to you in my book, it will be a respectful one, acknowledging all the seminal research you have lone into the facts and circumstances surrounding the association of President Tennely. I hon't get you into the category of the Mark Janes, Robert Brokens and Jim Garrisons of this world.

Sincerely and regartfully;